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Reports
Main products are basin level assessment reports for each subject watershed. These reports consist of an integrative synthesis report and a number of discipline-oriented appendices. A limited number of these synthesis reports and appendices were produced in printed media for program cooperators and partners, constituent groups, and agencies. Printed reports were also distributed to most major libraries. Printed documents are not currently available to the public; however, the entire synthesis report document, including appendices and maps, is available on a compact disk in PDF format or via the website www.coastalwatersheds.ca.gov. Basin assessment reports are currently available for the Gualala, Mattole, Albion, and Big River basins. CDs containing the reports, appendices, and maps may be requested from:

California Department of Fish and Game
Coastal Watershed Planning and Assessment Program
1487 Sandy Prairie Court, Ste. A
Fortuna, CA 95540
707.725.1070

Klamath Resource Information System CDs and Website
The Institute for Fisheries Resources (IFR) has produced Klamath Resource Information System (KRIS) projects for several North Coast watersheds. KRIS is a custom software program capable of managing watershed data sets, tables, charts, photos, and maps. The current KRIS products are available via the IFR website (www.krisweb.com), or on CD from:

Department of Forestry and Fire Protection
Fire and Resource Assessment Program
PO Box 944246
Sacramento, CA 94244-2460
(916) 327-3939
frap@fire.ca.gov

Maps of Landslides and Relative Landslide Potential
To date, the California Geological Survey has produced maps and GIS coverage of landslides and relative landslide potential on the Mattole, Gualala, and Big rivers, and Redwood Creek basins. To order map sets contact one of the California Geological Survey offices:

Publications Sales-Sacramento
(916) 445-6199 fax: (916)324-5644
Southern California Regional Office-Los Angeles
(213) 239-0878

Publications and Information Office-Sacramento
(916) 445-5716
Bay Area Regional Office-San Francisco
(415) 904-7707

You may also download the order form from the web site: www.consrv.ca.gov/cgs/information/publications/ordering.htm

Data sets and GIS Products
A number of data sets and GIS products have been produced as a part of this work. Some of these products are available at www.coastalwatersheds.ca.gov
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